

# COMMUNISM IS TREASON!



FIGHT IT WITH . . .

## Common Sense®

LEADER IN THE NATION'S FIGHT AGAINST COMMUNISM

® Trade-mark registered 1948 United States Patent Office

"The truth,  
the whole truth,  
and nothing  
but the truth  
... without fear  
or favor"

Conde McGinley  
1890 • FOUNDER • 1963

ISSUE NO. 489, FEBRUARY 15, 1967

Second Class Postage Paid at Union, N.J.

Subscription \$3.00 per year

# WORLD WAR III IMMINENT

## MASS INVASION OF SOUTH AFRICA PLANNED

### U.N. VIOLATES OWN LAW IN RHODESIA INTERVENTION

January 5th, 1967, will go down as one more day of infamy as treason runs rampant in U. S. Government. On that day, the United States joined four other nations imposing economic sanctions on Rhodesia. It was a move to implement the U.N. Security Council Resolution (which violated both Article I and Chap. VII of U.N. Charter) making it a criminal offense to trade with Rhodesia, and a move to aid Great Britain, who shows her appreciation by continuing to trade with our enemy which is daily killing American boys in Vietnam. Unless the white Rhodesians who have built up a nation out of a wilderness turn control of the government to Blacks, wholly incompetent to govern themselves, they will be bludgeoned into submission by the U.N.

On January 5, 1967, LBJ issued Executive Order 11322 ordering observance of U.N. sanctions against trade with Rhodesia. The penalty for non-compliance: \$10,000 fine, ten years in jail or both. We keep Russians alive with our wheat so they can make bullets to kill our boys; we trade with the illegitimate State of Israel which committed countless atrocities against the Arabs, but if Americans trade with Rhodesia, a nation at peace with the world, they are severely punished.

#### The Rhodesian Crisis

When will honesty replace duplicity? When will truth prevail over lies and mendacious reports? Why have our informers not set down the plain facts on Rhodesia, so easily obtainable and so thoroughly provable, instead of marooning us on a plateau of unreason, and setting us adrift on the sea of hypocrisy known as the "RHODESIAN QUESTION?"

#### Background

Rhodesia came into being almost 80 years ago. The breakthrough of the wilderness we now know as Rhodesia was pioneered by men of British ancestry. They found a country permeated with disease and wracked with warfare involving tribe after tribe.

Rhodesia has never been a possession of Great Britain. Britain has never ruled Rhodesia, nor has she ever contributed to the development of the latter. Since 1890 when Rhodesia was founded, the nation has been ruled solely by Rhodesians. Prior to 1923, Rhodesia was ruled, under Royal Charter, by the British South Africa Company. Lord Sumner, of the Judicial Committee of the Privy Council, on July 29, 1891 decided that, since the company gained conquest by its own arms, independent of and without help from Britain, the independent rights of the country were established. In 1923, this independent company granted independence to Rhodesia.

#### Relationships With Britain

Since 1923 Rhodesia has had free reign



"White Africa" . . . where United Nations is planning next war, and once again Christian will fight Christian in another Zionist-planned war to wipe out Christianity.

over her internal affairs and most of her external relationships. Her only British relations were conducted through the Dominions Office, which represents Great Britain with independent countries of the Commonwealth, not the Colonial Office. Rhodesia has always been regarded in Britain and elsewhere as an independent state.

When the Federation of Southern Rhodesia was launched in the early 1950s, Rhodesia, for the common good, did not press her claims for independence but agreed to work with her northern neighbors within a federation. When the federation dissolved, she worked out plans for independence which culminated in the 1961 Constitution. After the Federation dissolved, the least developed members won independence, while Rhodesia, which had attained the highest degree of political and economic maturity after thirty years of self rule, was still under the domination of Great Britain 6000 miles away! Had there been no Federation, Rhodesia would have been the first to obtain independence.

#### The 1961 Constitution

The 1961 Constitution aimed primarily at removing all British control over Rhodesian affairs, except for international financial commitments and treaty obligations. It was accepted not only by the British and Rhodesian Governments but by the African Nationalist Parties as well and it was naturally believed Rhodesia would derive full independence.

What was the nature of this document still in effect? It called for ever-increasing African participation in Parliament as more and more blacks obtained the qualifications and

(Continued on Page 2)

### WILL BLACK OCTOBER PRODUCE ANOTHER UN WAR?

The United Nations is going to war in Southern Africa. On October 28, the General Assembly of the U.N. voted to take over South West Africa, in defiance of the ruling by the U.N. World Court that South Africa legally held the mandate over South West Africa. The invasion and conquest of South West Africa will require a tremendous military force. The U.N. has no army of its own BUT it has the authority to call up all, or any part, of the armed forces of a "Member Nation." As a member nation, the United States is firmly bound by TREATY AGREEMENT under Article 43 of the U.N. Charter to, "make available to the Security Council ON ITS CALL and in accordance with . . . a special agreement, armed forces" of the United States.

#### Recent Developments

OCTOBER 7th — The President and Secretary of State conferred privately with U. Thant, Arthur Goldberg, and Ralph Bunche in United Nations Headquarters in New York. Did they negotiate the "Special Agreement" necessary for transfer of U.S. armed forces to the United Nations for war in Africa? Subsequent events indicate they did.

OCTOBER 8th: Congress enacted a law permitting the President to call up the nearly two million reservists without declaring a "National" emergency. (A U.N. war is an international emergency.)

OCTOBER 11th: The President signed an Executive Order (No. 11310) conferring war-time emergency powers upon the Attorney General.

OCTOBER 13th: The New York Times stated editorially: "The United States is committed as never before and cannot reverse its direction."

OCTOBER 21st: Senator Richard E. Russell, Chairman of the Armed Forces Committee, washed his, and the Senate's, hands of responsibility for preventing the up-coming African war with United States' troops by expressing the HOPE that the United Nations will not use United States forces — BUT he says he "Fears the worst."

Senator Russell knows and acknowledges what has been so skillfully concealed from American parents — that Congress has absolutely abdicated all control over, and responsibility for, our Armed Forces. Congress authorizes the training and the financing of the Armed Forces which may be sent to war only by and under the authority of the Security Council of the United Nations. (Art. 25, 33, 39, 43, 53, and 54). The Senate of the United States, by Treaty Commitment, has agreed to let the United Nations decide for us when, where, why, and how our Armed Forces are sent to war, and it has agreed in advance that

(Continued on Page 4)

# GET THE U.S. OUT OF U.N.



## U.N. VIOLATES OWN LAW IN RHODESIA INTERVENTION

(Continued from Page 1)

possessed the responsibility for voting. The Declaration of Rights and the Constitution Council detailed rights and freedoms for all individuals, without regard to race, tribe, origin, political opinion or color; to life, liberty, security of person, enjoyment of property, and protection of the law, freedom of conscience, expression, assembly and association, and respect for private and family life.

### Membership of Parliament

The subsequent 1965 Constitution retains all of the above Declaration of Rights and safeguards. In 1961 as now, Africans, Asians, Coloured and Europeans had equal rights to franchise and seats in Parliament. The vote and all sixty-five seats in Parliament were available to all, strictly on merit. At present fourteen non-white members occupy House seats. Technically, every seat could be contested by Africans. The law provides minimal standards to which all voters, regardless of color must conform, standards imposed solely that, in a country whose population ranges all the way from the most primitive to the most sophisticated, voters will comprise only those responsible people who appreciate the meaning and significance of a vote.

The following statement by the African chiefs to the British Government in 1964 echoes the sentiments of most black Rhodesians: "We would press for immediate independence for Southern Rhodesia in the terms of the existing Constitution which allows for involvement and forward development. We have seen events that have already taken place in other countries."

### The Real Issue

Rhodesia has an indisputable record of accomplishment, unmatched on the continent and has reached an outstanding political and economic maturity. It is a successful and thriving country that has beaten back disease, quelled racial fires, enhanced the status of Africans and maintained peace — all carved out of a wilderness.

### British Perfidy

Rhodesia was hard-core private enterprise, hard-core anti-communist and hard-core pro-Christian. This was too much for the Socialist Revolutionary Marxist leaders of Great Britain. This is a typical example of Communist chicanery which makes the racial issue the focal point. The real issue is carefully hidden: private enterprise and Christianity, on the one hand — Marxian Socialism and attendant revolution on the other.

Britain's behavior toward Rhodesia has been unjust, unfair and totally immoral. The Prime Minister would malign a gallant nation for whom his Government had nothing but praise less than twenty-five years ago. Step by step Rhodesia was repulsed. Britain torpedoed the Federation of Southern Rhodesia to give independence to Northern Rhodesia and Nyasaland. No such reward was handed to Southern Rhodesia despite her thirty-year record of self-government. The 1961 Constitution was regarded by all Rhodesians as a guarantee of her future independence. By it, Britain surrendered the reserve powers she had held, and could never again interfere in the former's affairs. Rhodesia had been granted "Independence within the federal framework." The Federation's disintegration did not change her de facto status of independence.

### Showdown Imminent

The Prime Minister and the British Government ignored all arguments in favor of Rhodesia. In the year 1965 British hostility increased and as Britain looked more and more with favor upon the revolutionary elements, conditions worsened for Rhodesia, pressure mounted from within, and a showdown had to be forced on the long-awaited question of her full independence.

At first, Wilson pretended an honest interest in an equitable solution of the problem. In October, 1965, Ian Smith went to London. British insincerity was soon apparent. Of the five mandatory points, Rhodesia yielded on all save one, and that she was endeavoring to bring about as soon as feasible. Wilson presented Rhodesia with an impossible ultimatum: total and immediate African rule, or no independence. Mr. Wilson had stated in 1964:

"The Labor Government is totally opposed to granting independence to Southern Rhodesia so long as the government of that country remains under the control of the white minority."

Britain would not budge. For Rhodesia to yield would mean reverting back to savagery and barbarism, wiping out years of progress, and putting all white lives in danger. Ian Smith had been crucified on the cross of diplomatic expediency. British tyranny had triumphed once again.

Of course we know perfectly well there is no more British Government today than there is an American Government. But there is a Zionist Invisible Government controlling Britain and America and all major nations through the Zionist-controlled United Nations.

An analogy will serve to point up the preposterous character of the ultimatum given Ian Smith. Let us recall the year 1776 and our own memorable Revolution. We rebelled against tyrannical "Taxation without representation." But just suppose that George III had laid down an ultimatum that the colonies must turn over their government to immediate rule by the majority (Indians)! The outrage of the colonies would be beyond comprehension. For all practical purposes, this is the ultimatum Rhodesia faces. To further humiliate and degrade Rhodesia, Britain, in an almost unprecedented act of diplomatic piracy, stole Rhodesia's hard-earned foreign assets, and charged her with default in her international obligations.

During the coming weeks there will be much discussion in Congress on the burning Rhodesian question. Many lawmakers are incensed at L.B.J.'s Executive Order ordering sanctions against Rhodesia. Congressman James B. Utt of California has introduced a bill calling for the U.S. withdrawal from the U.N. embargo. The bill not only cites the U.N. Charter as justification, but also Article I, Section 8 of U.S. Constitution which bestows on Congress alone the power to regulate commerce with foreign nations. Utt had a very interesting observation on this matter:

"How can we join such actions against Rhodesia without at once requesting England, and all 'friendly nations' in the U.N., to extend sanctions against North Vietnam? The United States is not at war with Rhodesia. We are fighting North Vietnam. We are losing 200 American lives a day in those jungles. England is supplying our enemy with war materials while using the Rhodesian embargo to increase its own supply of raw materials."

Let's all get into the fight for justice for Rhodesia, upon which the fate of all Southwest Africa may well depend. Should Rhodesia emerge strong victorious, an imminent World War III threat may be averted. Write Cong. Utt for a copy of his joint Resolution which would instruct the Federal Government to notify the United Nations that the United States will not honor U.N. sanctions against Rhodesia. The Resolution would also nullify Executive Order No. 11322, issued by the President on Jan. 5, 1967, which orders observance of the sanctions. Write your Congressmen and urge them to support Cong. Utt's bill. Ask your friends and neighbors to do the same. This is indeed 1776 all over again. Alert your friends and neighbors!

**South Africa has outlawed the Communist Party and barred Communists from exercising any influence in government, industry or labor. Another reason the United Nations must get a war going in South Africa.**



## ARTHUR GOLDBERG

Ambassador Plenipotentiary



MAN OF MANY FACES

Arthur Goldberg, U.S. Ambassador to the U.N., is a key official of the ZIONIST INVISIBLE GOVERNMENT, a dedicated Marxist, and one of the world's most brazen exponents of treason. There had to be a reason the Zionists moved their man from the higher Supreme Court rank to the lower U.N. Ambassador category. LBJ, their errand boy, dared not interfere. The explanation may be found in Goldberg's rank. HE HAS THE HIGHEST DIPLOMATIC RANK — AMBASSADOR PLENIPOTENTIARY — and the power rests with this Zionist to send our boys to war, with or without the consent of Congress.

There is no doubt a direct connection between a country's foreign policy ventures and the characteristics of its leading diplomats. Let us examine one such personage: Arthur Goldberg. As Goldberg passes from one contradiction to another, his utterances, semantics and official statements have fallen into a puzzling pattern of stratagems and cunning. For example: the Vietnam War. The most obdurate adherent of State Department and U.N. policy, would maintain, at least when the chips are down, that we honestly intended to win. But Goldberg offered this astounding process of reasoning in a London address on March 3, 1966:

"My view is that America is not fighting to win a war. We are fighting to give application to an old Greek proverb which is that the purpose of war is not to annihilate an enemy but to get him to mend his ways."

The enemy who should mend its ways has already killed nearly 10,000 American boys in Vietnam alone, and operates only by MINORITY rule.

On the other hand, Goldberg has not yielded an iota in his firm endorsement of the illegitimate state of Israel, which triumphed in the wake of acts of aggression infringing upon moral and international law, another example of MINORITY rule. The same is true of RED CHINA. The Ambassador Plenipotentiary has never officially condemned RED CHINA and many believe he is the behind-the-scenes ringmaster in the three-ring circus, reaching deep into his bag of stratagems to come up with the proper maneuver that will ease her into the U.N.

NOW WE COME TO RHODESIA: Anti-Communist, pro-American, unique model of racial harmony. Gone is Goldberg's concern about the Greek proverb and his almost "Christian" anxiety about getting the enemy to "mend his ways." Only two days after the Rhodesian declaration of Independence, Nov. 13, 1965, Goldberg told the General Assembly that "Rhodesians no longer have any diplomatic status in the U.S.; that the government is putting an embargo on shipments to Rhodesia and is cancelling the sugar quota of Rhodesia."

SO IT ALL DEPENDS ON WHETHER YOU ARE CHRISTIAN OR COMMUNIST.

This arrogant Zionist, with a most notorious red record, not content with alienating the Arabs, is out to alienate the entire world, and doing a good job of it. With "friends" like Goldberg we don't need any enemies. When will an aroused America demand the recall of this absurd appointment?



# U.N. Protects Thieves, Murderers

**For the Bastard State of Israel, That Attained Independence Through a Campaign of Terror, Bloodshed and Mass Murder,**

**Whose Official Law Discriminates Against All Others . . . No Sanctions, No Boycotts! Officials of the U.S. Government, Now Employees of the United Nations, on Orders from the U.N., Have Authorized Deployment of American Troops, Which Means Spilling of American Blood, to Assist the Illegitimate State of Israel Hold Onto Stolen Property and to Protect Zionist Minority's Illegal Occupation of 80% of Palestine.**

To achieve "statehood" in a "peaceful" manner, here are a few of the massacres committed by Zionists, and specifically the Hagana, Irgun and Stern Gangs of Israel:

King David Hotel Massacre, July 22, 1946  
Massacre of Deir Yassin, April 10, 1948  
Massacre of Naseruddine, April 14, 1948  
Massacre of Carmel, April 20, 1948  
Massacres of Al-Qabu, May, 1948  
Massacre of Beit Diras, May 3, 1948  
Massacre of Beitkhoury, May 5, 1948  
Massacre of Az-Zaytoun, May 6, 1948  
Massacre of Wadi Araba, May 13, 1950  
Massacre of Sharafat, Feb. 7, 1951  
Massacre of Falameh, April 2, 1951  
Massacre of Qibya, Oct. 14, 1953  
Massacre of Nahalin, March 28, 1954  
Massacre of Gaza, Feb. 28, 1955  
Massacre of Khan Yunis, May 31, 1955

Massacre of Khan Yunis, Aug. 31, 1955  
Massacre of Tiberia, Dec. 11, 1955  
Massacre of As Sabha, Nov. 2, 1955  
Massacre of Gaza, April 5, 1956  
Massacre of Rafa, Aug. 16, 1956  
Massacre of Ar-Rahwa, Sept. 12, 1956  
Massacre of Gharandal, Sept. 13, 1956  
Massacre of Houssan, Sept. 25, 1956  
Massacre of Qalqilyah, Oct. 10, 1956  
Massacre of Kafr Kassem, Oct. 29, 1956  
Massacres of Gaza Strip, Nov. 1956

The Israeli hordes even attacked the dead in their sleep to satisfy their bestial tendencies. In April 1954, during Holy Week, and on the eve of Easter, the Christian cemeteries in Haifa were invaded, crosses broken down and trampled under the feet of these miscreants, the tombs desecrated, the dead disturbed in their sleep.


## SOME OF ISRAEL'S MONUMENTS TO CIVILIZATION

### ZIONISTS BLOW UP OR BOMB CHURCHES AND CONVENTS



Church and Convent of Marie Reparatrice in Jerusalem, blown up and set on fire by Zionist Jews.

### NOTRE DAME CONVENT BOMBED BY ZIONISTS



Church looted by Zionists, crosses trampled on, altars desecrated, and the Holy Sanctuary used as a dance hall for Jewish soldiers and their girls.


## For Rhodesia, U.N. Knows Only Sanctions, and Invasion

Rhodesia is a modern day miracle, comparable to our own miracle of 1776, and the terrific impact it had on entire world. Since 1890 it has been the story of dedicated, pioneering Britons. They tamed a savage wilderness, they beat back repulsive disease, now in some areas, totally non-existent. They found only sickness, tribal war and slavery in the few tribesmen they encountered. The white Rhodesians were undaunted. Today the country is dotted with hospitals, supplied with nurses, doctors and modern equipment. The native African population of 300,000 at the outset, today numbers about 4,000,000, half of whom are children, a great tribute to

the country's medical advance. Rhodesians brought culture, political and economic maturity and Christianity to their adopted country. Today she excels in progress, stability, justice and orderly government. The most modern schools, railroads, highways, fine cities, churches, housing, factories, technical and agricultural colleges have given Rhodesia the highest cultural and educational standards. Such is the respect for law and order, they do not even have to arm their policemen.

Rhodesia has carved a modern state in the heart of a wilderness.

## SOME OF RHODESIA'S MONUMENTS TO CIVILIZATION



One of the delightful homes in the Coloured community (people of mixed descent) suburb of Arcadia in Salisbury.



The sanctuary in the little thatched chapel of Cyrene Mission near Bulawayo, decorated with murals by African artists. This is one of the many Christian churches in Rhodesia.

## RED CHINA



Some of the more than 14,000,000 victims the Red Chinese politically murdered.

For Red China, whose record of murder speaks for itself, every effort is being made to ease her into the United Nations.

As far back as 1950, United Nations officials wined and dined Red Chinese murderers in New York while treasonous American officials gave them free reign in the United States at the very time North Koreans, with the help of Chinese communists, were shooting American prisoners-of-war in the back!

In 1952, the U.N. received documentation of over 14,000,000 political murders committed by Red Chinese. The U.N. listened but took no action, and has been trying ever since to seat Red China in the U.N. tower of babel.

During the Korean war it was evident Red China had U.N. assurance it would not be attacked. Gen. MacArthur could have ended the war in a few weeks, but the traitors in the State Department would not permit him to do so. Now we continue our "no win" policy in Vietnam.

Thus the U.N. continues to protect communists, criminals and murderers.

Compare this with the betrayal of Hungary during the 1956 revolution. Not only did the United Nations refuse to help those seeking freedom from Soviet minority rule, but its member state, the United States, deliberately intervened to prevent Spain from sending the needed arms to the brave freedom fighters, rendering them helpless, thus forcing Hungary back into the Soviet orbit.

## Religion Flourishes in Rhodesia

Over in "rebellious" Rhodesia — where various nations are trying to put Premier Ian Smith's economy in a straitjacket — they call Fr. Mark Lucasinsky, C.M.M., "the swinging priest." This is because the Detroit-born priest, a graduate of the Mariannhill Fathers' St. Bernard Seminary, participates in a Rhodesian TV panel program, "Disc-O-Den," and discusses "the values of nothingness of the Beatles, Rolling Stones and Elvis . . ."

Whatever Rhodesia's political problems, missionaries are treated royally in that African Commonwealth country.

"Radio Rhodesia, for example, has at least two religious programs a day," says Fr. Mark. "All denominations are invited to participate, and since the service is requested by the station, they pay you for it . . ."

The programming for religion on Radio Rhodesia consists of four-minute talks, morning and evening, and each priest "does seven straight days." At 12 noon, there is another broadcast, lasting 10 minutes. There is an additional half-hour service on Sunday evenings at 9:30. The weekday talks have to follow a schedule, but "what you do on Sunday is up to you."

"Back on March 17th," says Fr. Mark, "I thought it was pretty funny that I, an American of Polish descent, should be telling people in Central Africa about good old St. Patrick. But in Africa nothing is impossible." — (From Leaves, March-April, 1966, issue).



CONSULAR TREATY TO ALLOW SOVIET AGENTS IN YOUR CITY

TO STOP KATZENBACH PLAN ACTION NECESSARY NOW

Reprinted from Liberty Lobby Letter 71, 300 Independence Ave., S.E., Washington, D.C. Subscription \$2.00 per year.

The Johnson Administration plan to allow Soviet spy nests in American Cities is with us again after being repulsed by a horrified public outcry in 1965.

With their unrelenting drive for a socialized America slowed down by the 1966 election returns, the Administration planners are now turning their full attention to what they call "building bridges" to the Communist enemy. In the forefront of this appeasement drive will be an all-out effort to ratify the notorious "Consular Treaty."

Consulates maintained by free nations are offices where normal commercial and tourist business is conducted. But, as pointed out by J. Edgar Hoover, the main function of a Soviet consulate is ESPIONAGE.

If the Consular Treaty is ratified by the Senate, American consulates in the Soviet Union will be busily occupied with increasing trade with the enemy, while Russian weapons are being used to kill and maim American boys in Vietnam.

Soviet consulates in this country will be busily engaged in dispatching spies throughout America. And, by the terms of Article 19 of the Treaty, these spies will be immune from prosecution by any American authority, State or Federal.

According to FBI information given to Sen. Thomas Dodd, more than 400 Soviet espionage and intelligence agents would be assigned to proposed consulates in New York, Chicago, Detroit and Los Angeles.

The spectre of this Soviet fifth column, operating with impunity in every part of the country caused patriots to flood the Senate with an unprecedented amount of protest mail in 1965, appealing for the defeat of the treaty. This public demand, inspired by Liberty Lobby, was so great that the Senate leadership backed away from its plan to bring the Consular Treaty up for a vote.

The drive to secure ratification of the "Soviet Spy" treaty is being led by Nicholas Katzenbach, who was moved from the Justice Department to his present post as Undersecretary of State as part of the President's program for "friendship" with the enemy. What better way to begin his new career than to press for ratification of a treaty which J. Edgar Hoover has called "a cherished goal for the Soviet intelligence services?" (Testimony of J. Edgar Hoover before the House Appropriations Committee, March 4, 1965).

The Senate Foreign Relations Committee, headed by Senator Fulbright, is very likely to report the Consular Treaty to the Senate floor early in the upcoming session of Congress.

There are men in the Senate who recognize the danger to our national security posed by this treaty. If you give them enough support NOW, you can STOP the Consular Treaty.

What You Can Do:

- Write or wire your two Senators now.
- Tell them you feel that a vote for the Consular Treaty would be a breach of faith with our boys in Vietnam, where Russian arms are killing Americans.
- Tell them that you are concerned about the possibility of Soviet espionage agents being given freedom to operate in American cities.
- Tell them that you do not want Soviet spies living and working in our cities.
- Tell them that you and your neighbors would find it very hard to understand how any Senator could ignore the warning J. Edgar Hoover gave in his testimony before the House Appropriations Committee.

Warn your friends and neighbors of the dangers hidden in the Consular Treaty, and encourage them to write or wire their Senators.

Remember, Your INFLUENCE COUNTS ... USE IT!

WILL BLACK OCTOBER PRODUCE ANOTHER U. N. WAR?

(Continued from Page 1) the "Security Council acts in its (our) behalf."

At this moment the entire armed services of the United States, those in training, all reservists, and including the men in Vietnam are BY TREATY AGREEMENT subject to call by the Security Council at any time to fight the war in Africa; a war which is not for the sole purpose of taking over South West Africa, but for bringing down the WHITE GOVERNMENTS of South Africa, Rhodesia, Portuguese Angola and Mozambique.

Millions may die in this United Nations war for these countries have NO ALTERNATIVE except to fight to the death. Congress has NO AUTHORITY TO INTERFERE with U.N. plans to conscript Americans. Hear again the warning words of Senator Russell as he disclaims any senatorial responsibility:

"I rise to express the HOPE the United Nations has not embarked upon a course which will bring about another Vietnam in Africa with the United States undertaking practically alone to enforce the Political Views of the Majority of the United Nations . . . ." But, he "Fears the worst."

OCTOBER 28th: The General Assembly voted to take over South West Africa. Now they must raise an army to do it. The United States Ambassador and the President have guaranteed the full support of the United States for any U.N. action in Africa. A million-man army has been recruited, trained, and battle-tested in Vietnam. The Government of the United States admittedly has been "reporting promptly and fully all major activities in Vietnam" to the Security Council.

The United States is fully prepared to meet its Charter Commitments to "make available to the Security Council on its call" a magnificent army for use at any time or place designated by the U.N.

OCTOBER 21st: A U.N. Committee of 14 members was appointed to work out the details of the invasion and report back to the General Assembly not later than APRIL, 1967.

If YOU are not prepared to meet Security Council demands for military service for yourself or your sons, NOW is the time to SPEAK UP and assure Washington YOU WILL NOT STAND FOR IT.

COUNCIL FOR STATEHOOD P. O. Box 1131, North Miami, Fla., 33161

READ AND PASS ON

- LAWYERS WITHOUT LAW, by Mary Davison Lifts the curtain on the new "International Law Treaty," which was composed by the International Law Commission, arm of the UN, and is now awaiting Senate ratification. This UN LAW OF THE WORLD would end Constitutional Law. Read this shocking story and act. . . . . 40
- NONE DARE CALL IT TREASON, by John A. Stormer. A carefully document story of America's retreat from victory . . . . . 75
- VICTORY DENIED, by Major Arch E. Roberts, A.U.S., Ret. Why your sons face death in UN "NO-WIN WARS." . . . . 75
- FEARFUL MASTER, by G. Edward Griffin. A hard-hitting, carefully document book on the U. N. . . . . \$1.00
- THE SECRET GOVERNMENT OF THE UNITED STATES, by Mary Davison. A revealing examination of the Council on Foreign Relations which completely controls both U.S. and U.N. . . . . \$1.00
- STATE DEPARTMENT: BLUNDERS OR TREASON? by Bryton Barron. Thoroughly exposes State Dept. which played prominent part in shocking events discussed in this issue of COMMON SENSE . . . . \$2.50

THANK YOU-

for bearing with us while we completed zip-coding our mailing list and changing over to a new system to comply with postal regulations. Please advise promptly of any irregularities so as to expedite future mailings.

U.S. CAN BE FORCED TO SEND TROOPS UNDER U.N. CHARTER

Facts

- 1-Resolutions of the United Nations General Assembly have been accepted by the United States Government as binding commitments upon this nation.
- 2-The General Assembly of the UN on October 27, 1966 approved Resolution A/L 483 authorizing the UN to take over South West Africa.
- 3-Under Article 43 of the UN Charter the U.S. has agreed "to make available to the Security Council on its call . . . armed forces, assistance and facilities . . ."
- 4-Under Article 54 of the UN Charter "The Security Council shall at all times be kept fully informed of activities undertaken or in contemplation . . ."
- 5-Congress has not declared war. Under the UN Charter the Security Council decides when we shall go to war and what measures shall be taken-Article 39, 41 and 42. Arthur Goldberg, our Ambassador Plenipotentiary, has pledged our full support to the UN in taking over South West Africa.

Significance

Under Article 25 of the UN Charter, the U.S. has agreed "to accept and carry out the decisions" of the UN without question. To implement this resolution, the UN will have to call up an enormous military force. The U.S. Armed Forces, past and present, are subject to call to serve as mercenaries in the UN Army. By ratification of the UN Charter, the U.S. has authorized the UN to call up all or any part of our military establishment to fight any place in the world for any reason determined by the UN.

The military head of the Security Council always has been a Soviet National (except one Yugoslav) — currently Alexi Nesterenko, USSR. Reports on actions undertaken or in contemplation are available to Nesterenko.

The Congress has conferred upon Mr. Goldberg the "rank and status of Ambassador Plenipotentiary" meaning this one man can pledge our Armed Forces for a UN War.

Action Indicated

- 1-The minute our Constitution is abrogated there remains no authority save that of the fifty State Governments. Tell your Governors and State Legislators that theirs is the choice of liberty under the Constitution or slavery under the UN Charter. Tell them you want your State out of the UN.
- 2-Because the U.S. Congress is responsible to the States and their people and because some Congressmen may not be aware of this UN action, warn your Senators and Representatives in Washington that the American people will not stand for sending our boys into war in Africa, backing your protests with copies of this documentary message.
- 3-Write Chairman of Senate Armed Services Committee (Richard Russell) and Chairman of House Armed Services (L. Mendel Rivers) demanding a review of the UN Charter, specifically the availability to the enemy of military plans. In letter to Chairman Russell insist the Senate take prompt action to prohibit the surrender of our young men to the UN for a war in Africa.

Common Sense.

UNION, NEW JERSEY, U.S.A.

	U. S. CANADA	U. S. FOREIGN
	1ST CLASS	2ND CLASS
1 year	\$5.00	\$3.00
2 years	8.00	5.00
3 years	10.00	7.00
Lifetime	—	50.00

Foreign 1st Class — \$10.00 per year

BULK COPIES — SAME or ASSORTED

20 copies	\$1.00
100 copies	4.00
500 copies	15.00
1000 copies	20.00

Published twice monthly except July and August, once each, by

CHRISTIAN EDUCATIONAL ASSN. 530 Chestnut Street, Union, N. J. 07083